Present

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933.
(Miscl)

File No. 264 - 33
Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for June 34.

SUBJECT.

Review application for reconsideration of orders re Separation of Archana and Services in Sri Hariharesvara Swami temple at Hariharesvara.

Ath

To Mr Malhar Gudde Archak
Sri Hariharesvara Swami temple
Harihar

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No 1592 dt 1-6-34.

Informed that there are no reasons to reconsider the orders already

opportunity was not given to the Appellant Petitioner's Lawyer to argue the matter. It is therefore even now prayed that it may be heard by either the Lewan Sahib himself or the First Member of Council before being disposed of. The Petitioner will present himself with his lawyer on getting notice of the date of hearing

APPELLANT - PETITIONER.

consideration of above issues in
S.O.F. No. 329-32-Gr. dt. 21/12/33 at Tue × N.
ex. days for the case being
either of the Dewan or the First Member.
Ref. paras 21 to 24 at Tue × Page 6
Reasons file month. The appellant was
noted to have no case & the fee was rejected.
The pleader has failed to advance fresh grounds
to support the case - hence. For orders —

Kind perusal is invited to paras 5 to 8
of the Note in Secy file No 329-32-Gr. put up — Also paras 21 to 24. The contention of the petr that the case should have been heard by the Dewan or the Member of Council is untenable. If approved he will be told that Govt see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

30/4/34

7296.
17/5

2nd Mtg)

Serial No.

29. MAY. 1934
GENL. & REV. SECTT.

Encl No 1592 dy 1.6.34 to Mr Mahtab Gadon intimating that Government see no reason to reconsider the order already passed on the subject.

Memo no 1593 dy 1.6.34 to the Muzaffarpur Commissioner forwarding copy of the above Encolsement —

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

BEFORE THE COURT OF HIS HIGHNESS SRI LAKSHMA-
RAJA OF MYSORE, PALACE.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1932-33.

Malhari Guddu. .. Appellant.

Vs-

Malhari Putta. .. Respondent.

Under Section of the Muzrai Regulation.

The appellant begs to appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner dated 22nd Nov: 1932 in Dis. No. 114 of 1932-33 copy of which is annexed, on the following among other grounds.

1. The learned Muzrai Commissioner erred in not giving an opportunity to the appellant to be heard before rejecting his application.

2. In the temple of Sri Harihareswara at Harihar there have been an Archak and an Abhiseki all along. The appellant's grand-father one Hariappa was the barawardar Archak of the said Harihareswara Temple and the father of the present Abhisheki, the respondent above named was the Abhisheki of the same. Hariappa was getting cash remuneration of Rs. 12/- per annum for his

services

services of archaka and the Ahlishebi was getting a cash grant of Rs. 4-3-0 per annum and in addition to this was given the enjoyment of certain service - Inam lands in the village of Amrachi and Belludi, which Nos. 62 and 36 area 38 acres assessed at Rs. 13/- and [illegible] Rs. 30/- and survey 36 acres 1 - [illegible] Rs. 13/- with an income of Rs.110/- per annum, yielding in all about Rs. 160/- - annually.

5. The hereditary Karinama had during the life time of the appointed one Vilikonda Bhatta (the present petitioner) as the archaka owing to his old age and illness. Now on the death of the said Vilikonda Bhatta, the [illegible] and the Ahlishebi, that is, the respondents filed, claimed discharge from the duties, and for their duties, in addition, the performance of other and took up the matter to the Superintendent who has [illegible] and the - [illegible] performed by the two persons mentioned in other [illegible] viz: the inam lands Nos. 351 and 21-3-160. The [illegible] for the purpose of [illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible] - [illegible]. It is [illegible] without the [illegible] Archak Hami - ya. [illegible] has been passed - by the [illegible] and regarding either - [illegible] of the service [illegible] be granted to the archak for per- [illegible] of archak in addition

to his ownwork during his year of office. Not only when the archak actually performed the abhisheki duties the Abhishekki was directed to contribute Rs. 12/- in 1926 for the actual expenses of "Panta bhisheka" during that year. This contribution was in no sense any remuneration to the archak but merely one given to the temple.

4. The Abhishekki therefore enjoys the service Inam lands with a salary of Rs. 24/- whereas the temple does not perform any duties. Whereas the archak performs certain duties (archak) enjoys inam of Rs. 2/- per annum, his (archak's) salary since 1926. This is obviously unfair to the latter given in no way justified.

5. The above extract from the Jaghir Superintendent's letter to the Collector is highly encouraging.

6. Later on the petitioner's counsel of the Paravakkam Inam Abolition Tribunal from the heir of the Abhishekki to the Collector and the Government on an appeal to the Board of Revenue: Board's No. [illegible] 1928-30 in which this application was forwarded for favourable application.

7. In the petition the petitioner prays that his father and brother of the Name of Abhishekki which of Allirajapuram [illegible] remuneration are also performing the duties, are also performing and observing and the Abhishekki does not perform the duties

of fetching water and other duties connected with
the house as it was during his grand-father's -
time. As a dispute arose between the two te-
nants hereof as to who is to fetch water from the river
for whom, due to non-local commissioner -
directed both the duties of Archack and -
Chinnaiah in rotation in alternate years. This
temporary arrangement was made only to settle
the dispute of the two tenants rights and in
order to enable the Commissioner to separately
ascertain who has been appointed as Archack
in place of his grand-father Mallappa.

3. The appellant therefore prays under
the above circumstances the separate duties of
Archack all above set out to continue as hitherto
continue as Archack as his grand-
father, since the deceased Mallappa -
enjoyed the Inam to his whole service.

Bangalore,
Dated -3-1944.

Yours faithfully,
Appellant.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

(8

No. 1592

Bangalore, Dated 30 - 5 - 1934.

1-6-34

Mcy 264-33-2

~~Official Memorandum~~

Endorsement granted to Mr. Malhari Guddu, Archak of Sri Harihareswaraswami Temple, Harihar, Chitaldrug District.

With reference to his petition received on the 10th April 1934 praying for reconsideration of the orders passed in the matter of the Archana and the Abhisheki services in the temple being separated and the ~~ba~~wards differently designated, Mr. Malhari Guddu is informed that Government see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed on the subject.

By order,

(Sd-) S. Ramanna

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department

AG
RS

Memo No. 1582/Muz dated 1-6-34

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded, with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for information in continuance of this office Memo No. 861/Muz. 329-32-4 dated the 21st December 1933.

By order,

K N Krishnamurthy

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department

F G—1]

JECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mr.

File no. 269-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1592

To

Mr Malhari Gudu

Archak of Sri Hariharesvara

Swamy Temple – Harihara

Chitaldrug Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

te of issue 1/6/34

gned by V.N. Krishna Swamy

By letter dated his petn received on 10/4/34 praying for recommendation the orders issued in the matter of the archana & the celebration Services in the temple to be conducted & the emoluments attached to the hereditary office, Mr Malhari Gudu is informed that Govt see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed on the R.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Cons. St 12-C. au Contentieux
N° Notre Mémoire n° 861/ Mme S
329-32-4 du 2/12/03

L.
257

Page